Prediction of Universal Time and LOD Variations

Daniel Gambis and Christian Bizouard

IERS, Observatoire de Paris, FRANCE

Content

- 1 Why performing a UT1 prediction ?
- 2 Description of Earth variations in UT1 and LOD
- 3 Current IERS products
- 4 Predictability of LOD and UT1
- 5 UT1 NTP time scale

Why performing UT1 predictions?

- UT1: stellar angle determining the Earth orientation
- No real-time UT1 determination available
- Different applications
 - Ephemeris computation
 - Celestial navigation
 - Astronomical softwares
 - Space geodesy orbitography
 - Need of temporal series of DUT1 = UT1-UTC for automatic procedures

Description of the variations of the Earth Rotation

Length of day Variations

<u>Secular drift</u>

Energy dissipation (~ 2.4 ms/century)

Post-glacial rebound (~ -.5 ms/cy)

Decadal fluctuations

Core/mantle torque (amplitude ~ 5 ms)

Global ocean-atmosphere processes

Variations from a few hours to about 2 years

Atmospheric causes (zonal winds) (amplitude ~ 1 ms)

Diurnal and sub-diurnal variations

Ocean, atmosphere (amplitude ~200 ms) Colloquium on the UTC Time Scale, Torino, 28-29 May 2003



gambis 17-Apr-2000







Colloquium on the UTC Time Scale, Torino, 28-29 May 2003

IERS products

Current IERS Products

Earth rotation Polar motion (precision: 100 μas), Universal Time (precision : 15μs) and LOD (precision : 20μs) One-day smoothed solution (1962-now) One and five-day normal point solution Long-term solution (1/20 year) 1846-now

<u>Bulletins concerning time dissemination</u>
Bulletin C : Announcement of the leap seconds in UTC
Bulletin D: Announcement of the value of DUT1 truncated at 0.1s for transmission with time signals

• The Earth Orientation Center of the IERS at Paris Observatory is in charge of the leap second prediction and announcement

Long term series of UT1-UTC and LOD

1891 – 1954: One-Iunation series computed from occultations (Jordi et al solution)

1956 – 1990: astrometric-based series derived in Hipparcos reference frame (Vondrak

1955 – 1961 Universal time scale computed from optical instruments (Guinot, personal communication)

1962 until now: BIH and IERS solutions.

Only optical observations between 1962 and 1972.
Only LLR and Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) since 1983

Predictions of UT1-UTC

Current UT1-UTC prediction procedure

- Modeling includes a bias, a linear trend and seasonal terms, annual and semi-annual
- Residuals are modeled and predicted as an autoregressive process

Short term prediction of UT1-UTC available on a real time basis

Skill of the UT1 prediction statistics over 1963-2003

| Horizon | Prediction accuracy |
|----------|---------------------|
| | in ms |
| 10 days | 3 |
| 30 days | 7 |
| 90 days | 21 |
| 180 days | 36 |
| 1 year | 68 |
| 2 years | 163 |
| 3 years | 308 |



Long-term predictability variations of LOD and UT1-UTC







gambis 23-May-2003 14:57 [1]





Conclusions

- Possibility to predict UT1 with a 1s accuracy at least over 4 years using a simple method : seasonal, bias and drift.
- New prediction methods are under investigation (Singular Spectrum Analysis, neural network,..)
- Possibility to use Core Angular Momentum prediction for decadal modeling
- The IERS EOP Center makes now available UT1 on a real-time basis